



Occurrence of *Sternaspis scutata* (Polychaeta: Sternaspidae) in the English Channel

Michael TOWNSEND¹*, Tim M. WORSFOLD², Phil R.J. SMITH³, Luke J. MARTINA⁴,
C. Louise McNEILL¹ and Michael A. KENDALL¹

(¹) Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, West Hoe, Plymouth, Devon, PL1 3DH

*Corresponding author: Email: biodiversity@hotmail.co.uk. Fax: +44 (0)1752 633 101

(²) Unicomarine Ltd, 7 Diamond Centre, Works Road, Letchworth, Hertfordshire, SG6 1LW

(³) Aquatonics Ltd, Glenthorne, Searle Street, Crediton, Devon, EX17 2DB

(⁴) National Marine Monitoring Team, Environment Agency, Kingfisher House, Goldhay Way, Orton Goldhay, Peterborough, PE2 5ZR

Abstract: Several new records are presented that indicate a substantial increase in the U.K. range of the polychaete *Sternaspis scutata* (Ranzani, 1817). Until recently, this conspicuous polychaete was only recorded from a single location (Portland Harbour) but it is now present at a number of sites in South Devon, a westwards extension of approximately 125 km. The new records include an intertidal location on the Dart estuary, which is of particular interest as intertidal records for this species are rare. It is unclear whether the new records are the consequence of a natural range expansion or relate to human activities.

Résumé : Présence de *Sternaspis scutata* (Polychaeta : Sternaspidae) en Manche. Dans cet article sont présentées de nouvelles données qui montrent une extension importante de la distribution de l'annélide polychète *Sternaspis scutata* (Ranzani, 1817) au Royaume-Uni. Jusqu'à récemment (1987-1994) on n'avait enregistré la présence de ce polychète qu'à un seul endroit – le port de Portland – mais des populations ont été observées depuis, dans plusieurs sites de la partie sud du comté du Devon, un déplacement vers l'Ouest d'environ 125 km. De nouvelles populations ont été découvertes dans la zone intertidale de l'estuaire de la Dart, ce qui présente un intérêt particulier du fait que les données intertidales pour cette espèce sont rares. On n'a pas encore déterminé si ces nouvelles récoltes sont le résultat d'un déplacement naturel de l'espèce ou bien si elles sont liées à l'intervention de l'homme.

Keywords: *Sternaspis scutata* • Range expansion • New record • English Channel

Introduction

The polychaete genus *Sternaspis* (Otto, 1821) comprises over fifteen species (Petersen, 2000) and is recorded from

many parts of the world (Rouse & Pleijel, 2001). Members of the genus are moderately sized (up to 30 mm) with a pair of hard ventral shields and multiple branchiae at the posterior end. The anterior end is retractable, with a mouth and narrow prostomium. The first three segments have rows of stout chaetae and the mid segments have embedded capillary notochaetae and a pair of genital papillae on one segment (Petersen, 2000). Sternaspid worms are typically

Reçu le 13 avril 2006 ; accepté après révision le 10 août 2006.

Received 13 April 2006; accepted in revised form 10 August 2006.

found in mud or sandy mud and are thought to be subsurface deposit feeders (Fauchald & Jumars, 1979) that bury head first into the sediment, leaving their gills exposed (Day, 1967). The only species of *Sternaspis* recorded from British waters (Mackie & Erseus, 1997) is *Sternaspis scutata* (Ranzani, 1817). This species has a preference for fine sediment and is widely tolerant of changes in both salinity and turbidity (Petersen, 2000 and references therein). *Sternaspis scutata* is a squat worm, reaching around 30 mm in length and 15 mm width. It has 20-22 body segments, of which the first three have a lateral row of 12 acicular spines and the first seven comprise an introvert. The ventrocaudal shield of this species is striated, rhomboidal in shape and tan brown in colour. Around the shield are 15-17 long bundles of capillary chaetae (Fig. 1).

Until recently, the only recorded U.K. location for *S. scutata* came from a commercial survey in Portland Harbour, completed by the Oil Pollution Research Unit (Hiscock & Hannam, 1986), which was cited by Sanderson (1996). Subsequent surveys at the same location (Ambios Ltd and Unicomarine Ltd, unpublished report) have confirmed the species' presence (see Table 1). According to Fauvel (1927) the nearest occurrence of *S. scutata* to the U.K. is the Bay of Biscay and the North Sea. Recent records from the Bay of Biscay include an intertidal population found in 2001 at the Ile de Ré (pers. comm. D. Fichet). It is also found in the Mediterranean where it can be an important member of the infaunal community, both

numerically and in terms of biomass (Salen-Picard & Arlhac, 2002). Other records of *S. scutata* are from the North Sea, Arctic, Antarctic, South Atlantic and Pacific (Fauvel, 1927; Day, 1967; Martin et al., 2000), however, some may require substantiation. More recently, Dauvin et al. (2003) noted that the presence of *S. scutata* in the English Channel could not be confirmed, despite its occurrence both further north and south. One other species of the same genus, *S. fossor* (Stimpson, 1853), has also been listed for Europe (Bellan, 2001).

Materials and methods

In 2004 and 2005, sediment was collected during a number of commercial surveys from sites between Portland Harbour and Plymouth Sound (Fig. 2). Collections were made by different organisations using a range of sampling techniques. Material was washed through sieves of different apertures, depending on the survey, and the fauna retained preserved in 5% formalin. After the material was sufficiently fixed, fauna were washed to remove the formalin, and transferred to 70% ethanol prior to identification.

Results

Specimens of *Sternaspis scutata* were recorded at several of sites at water depths ranging from Low Water Spring




Figure 1. A specimen of *Sternaspis scutata*. Pygidium on the left and prostomium on the right. The first seven segments are introverted revealing the acicular spines (Photo courtesy of Alison Miles, Environmental Agency).

Figure 1. Un spécimen de *Sternaspis scutata*. Pygidium à gauche et prostomium à droite. Les sept premiers segments sont inversés et révèlent les épines aciculaires (photo prise par Alison Miles, Environmental Agency).

Table 1. Recent U.K. records of *Sternaspis scutata*.**Tableau 1.** Localisation des récoltes récentes de *Sternaspis scutata* au Royaume Uni.

Date	Location	Water depth (m)	Sediment description	Sampling method	Abundance of <i>S. scutata</i>
PORTRLAND HARBOUR					
1987	4 sites	7.5-14 m	Mud-muddy shell gravel	Hunter grab	Present
1994	Portland Harbour 50°34.9N 002°26.0W	12 m	Mud and veryfine sand	Day grab	48 in one grab
PLYMOUTH SOUND					
August 2004	Plymouth Sound 50°20.584N, 004°08.600W	8.6 m	Mud	1 x 0.1 m ² box core	3 individuals
April 2005	Plymouth Sound 50°20.956N, 004°07.841W	10 m	Mud	5 x 0.1 m ² Day grabs, 1 with <i>S. scutata</i>	1 individual
September 2005	Plymouth Sound 50°20.584N, 004°08.600W	8.6 m	Mud	1 x anchor dredge 0.05 m ² van Veen grabs	22 individuals
DART ESTUARY					
April 2005	Kingswear 50°20.930N, 003°34.525W	Intertidal LWS	Mud with woody detritus	3 x 0.0085 m ² cores	118 m ⁻²
September 2005	Kingswear 50°20.930N, 003°34.525W	Intertidal LWS	Mud with woody detritus	6 x 0.0085 m ² cores	334 m ⁻²
LYME BAY					
May 2004	Torbay, 3 stations 50°25.265N, 003°30.551W	12-15 m	Mud - Sandy mud	15 x 0.1 m ² Day grabs, all with <i>S. scutata</i>	Maximum of 1025 in one grab
May 2004	Off Berry Head, 2 stations 50°22.562N, 003°28.840W	19-36 m	Sandy mud	10 x 0.1 m ² Day grabs, 9 with <i>S. scutata</i>	Maximum of 11 in one grab
April 2005	Torbay, 18 stations 50°24.458N - 50°26.764N, 003°31.825W - 003°30.186W	7-15 m	Sandy mud	30 x 0.1 m ² Day grabs, 22 with <i>S. scutata</i>	Maximum of 398 in one grab
August 2005	Brixham Harbour 50°24.343N, 003°30.796W	9 m	Sandy mud	16 x 0.05m ² van Veen grabs	Maximum of 126 in one grab
September 2005	Northern Torbay 50°44.293N, 003°52.581W	12 m	Sandy mud	1 x 0.1 m ² Smith- McIntyre grab	55 in one grab
May 2004	Off Otterton Point 50°38.586N, 003°15.863W	16 m	Sandy mud	5 x 0.1 m ² Day grabs, all with <i>S. scutata</i>	Maximum of 49 in one grab

(LWS) to approximately 36 m. The records of *S. scutata* are summarized in Table 1 and a specimen is shown Figure 1. Most specimens found conformed well with the descriptions of *S. scutata* given by Petersen (2000). In this paper the new records of *S. scutata* from the English Channel are presented, representing a considerable expansion of the species' range.

Discussion

In this paper we have assembled recent records of *Sternaspis scutata* from U.K. waters, showing the species to be both more widely distributed (Fig. 2) and more abundant than previous records suggest. In all probability the

new information presented here describes an expansion of the species' range: the worm is highly distinctive and hence is unlikely to have been overlooked or mis-identified in previous surveys of the same areas. Some areas such as Plymouth Sound have been sampled regularly for many years. The cause of the expansion is unclear but it comes at a time when other species in Britain are extending their geographical limits and increasing in abundance at sites close to their range edge. Such expansions have been linked to a warming of the marine environment (Stebbing et al., 2002; Beaugrand & Ibanez, 2004; Mieszkowska et al., 2006) but the new records of *S. scutata* do not represent expansion into cooler, more northerly regions. On the contrary, a westward expansion of the species from Portland takes it into more thermally stable water.



Figure 2. Map showing the South-West region of the UK and the sites at which *Sternaspis scutata* have been found. Sites: 1. Portland Harbour, 2. Otterton Point, 3. Torbay, 4. Brixham Harbour 5. Kingswear and 6. Plymouth Sound.

Figure 2. Carte de la région du Sud Ouest du Royaume Uni et des localités où *Sternaspis scutata* a été trouvé. 1. Port de Portland, 2. Pointe de Otterton, 3. Torbay, 4. Port de Brixham 5. Kingswear et 6. Détroit de Plymouth.

There are no recent records of *S. scutata* from the coast of Northern France, and the origins of the isolated population at Portland Harbour can only be the subject of speculation. Nevertheless, until 1995 Portland Harbour was a major harbour of the Royal Navy and was a training centre for warships from many countries. The possibility that the population first arrived in a ship's ballast water or on a piece of equipment cannot be overlooked. Recent range expansion is one of the defining criteria of a non-native species (Chapman & Carlton, 1994) and there is currently great concern about the ecological impacts of alien species (Bax et al., 2001; Robinson et al., 2005). If the range of *S. scutata* continues to expand in Britain, close attention should be paid to its interaction with other species. Conversely, if *S. scutata* can be considered to be native, then it must be assumed that it should have some conservation status. It is listed as 'Nationally Rare' by Sanderson (1996). It is evident that more research is required to record and quantify further changes in the distribution and abundance of *S. scutata*, as well as to resolve its native or alien status.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the two anonymous referees for their helpful comments, Rob Townsend for help with translation, Alison Miles for the use of her photo, the crew of the MBA Sepia, the following organisations commissioned surveys in which *Sternaspis scutata* was recorded: Environment Agency – Water Framework Directive

surveys at Otterton Point, off Bury Head, Torbay and Plymouth Sound, South West Water and Pell Frischmann – April 2005 survey at Kingswear, Devon Wildlife Trust and the European Union – Torbay survey, PMA contract – Brixham Harbour survey contract, Kerr-McGee Oil (UK) - Lyme Bay and Portland Harbour survey, 1994.

References

Bax N., Carlton J.T., Mathews-Amos A., Haedrich R.L., Howarth F.G., Purcell J.E., Rieser A. & Gray A. 2001. The control of biological invasions in the world's oceans. *Conservation Biology*, **15**: 1234-1246.

Beaugrand G. & Ibanez F. 2004. Monitoring marine plankton ecosystems. II: Long-term changes in North Sea calanoid copepods in relation to hydro-climatic variability. *Marine Ecological Progress Series*, **284**: 35-47.

Bellan G. 2001. Annelida. In: *European register of marine species. A checklist of the marine species in Europe and a bibliography of guides to their identification*. Patrimoines Naturels, no. 50 (M.J. Costello, C. Emblow & R. White eds.), pp.1-463.

Chapman J.W. & Carlton J.T. 1994. A test of criteria for introduced species: the global invasion by the isopod *Synidotea laevidorsalis* (Meirs, 1881). *Journal of Crustacean Biology*, **11**: 386-400.

Dauniv J.C., Dewarumez J.M. & Gentil F. 2003. An up to date list of polychaetous annelids from the English Channel. *Cahiers de Biologie Marine*, **44**: 67-95.

Day J.H. 1967. *Polychaeta of Southern Africa: Part 2 Sedentaria*. Trustees of The British Museum (Natural History) London. Eyre and Spottiswoode Limited: Portsmouth. 878pp.

Fauchald K. & Jumars P. 1979. The diet of worms: a study of polychaete feeding guilds. *Oceanography and Marine Biology*, **17**: 193-284.

Fauvel P. 1927. Polychètes sédentaires. Addenda aux errantes, archiannélides, myzostomaires. *Faune de France*, **16**: 494 pp.

Hiscock K. & Hannam M. 1986. Survey and Sampling in Portland Harbour. A report to British Petroleum Development Ltd from the Oil Pollution Research Unit, Field Study Council.

Mackie A.S.Y. & Erseus C. 1997. Annelida. In: *The species directory of the marine fauna and flora of the British Isles and surrounding seas*, Ulster Museum Publication. No. 276. (C.M. Howson & B.E. Picton eds), pp. 109-146. Ulster Museum and the Marine Conservation Society: Belfast and Ross-on-Wye.

Martin G.S., Parapar J., Garcia F.J. & Redondo M.S. 2000. Quantitative analysis of soft bottoms infaunal macrobenthic polychaetes from South Shetland Islands (Antarctica). *Bulletin of Marine Science*, **67**: 83-101.

Mieszkowska N., Kendall M.A., Hawkins S.J., Leaper R., Williamson P., Hardman-Mountford N.J. & Southward A.J. 2006. Changes in the range of some common rocky shore species - a response to climate change? *Hydrobiologia*, **555**: 241-251.

Petersen M.E. 2000. Family Sternaspidae Carus, 1863. In: *Taxonomic Atlas of the benthic fauna of the Santa Maria Basin and western Santa Barbara Channel, Vol. 7: The Annelida*.

Part 4. Polychaeta: Flabelligeridae to Sternaspidae (J.A. Blake, B. Hilbig & P.H. Scott eds), pp. 311-336. Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, Santa Barbara: California.

Robinson T.B., Griffiths C.L., McQuaid C. & Rius M. 2005. Marine alien species of South Africa - status and impacts. *African Journal of Marine Science*, **27**: 297-306.

Rouse G.W. & Pleijel F. 2001. *Polychaetes*. Oxford University Press: London. 354 pp.

Salen-Picard C. & Arlhac D. 2002. Long-term changes in a Mediterranean benthic community: relationships between the polychaete assemblages and hydrological variations of the Rhone River. *Estuaries*, **25**: 1121-1130.

Sanderson W.G. 1996. Rarity of marine benthic species in Great Britain: development and application of assessment criteria. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, **6**: 245-256.

Stebbing A.R.D., Turk S.M.T., Wheeler A. & Clarke K.R. 2002. Immigration of southern fish species to south-west England linked to warming of the North Atlantic (1960-2001). *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **82**: 177-180.